

January 11, 2006

Tam Doduc, Chair, and Members State Water Resources Control Board Executive Office 1001 I street, 24th Floor Sacramento, CA. 95814 Attn: Selica Potter, Clerk to the Board

Comment Letter – 1/19/06 Public Hearing For SSORP – regarding the Statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements (WDR) for Wastewater Collection System Agencies and the accompanying Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP)

The City of Barstow wishes to express its concerns to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) regarding the draft SSORP and provided the following comments for your review.

- Support the goals of the SWRCB to implement the SSORP to reduce the number and volume of Sanitary Sewer Overflows SSOs throughout the state.
- Strongly urges the SWRCB not to adopt the WDR and MRP unless and until state funding (in the form of grants and or loans) is provided, protection for our ratepayers from potentially unfair and unreasonable regulatory fines and third party litigation is added, and additional time for program implementation is built into the compliance schedule.
- The projected \$72 annual cost per household to implement the Statewide WDR that is shown in the Fact Sheet may underestimate the true cost for cities such as ours. Even if the cost projection proves accurate, it does represent a very significant cost increase to be borne by our ratepayers.
- The State should identify a source of funding to support these new costs rather than simply requiring the cities to enact rate increases to cover the costs.
- We have grave concerns about the potential impact of fines or third party litigation.
 The program costs should not be further impacted by fines or third party litigation due
 to SSOs that occur even after our full SSMP implementation and full compliance with
 WDR and MRP requirements.
- The WDR must strongly differentiate between preventable and unavoidable SSOs, and must provide cities and their residents with protection against the cost impact of fines and lawsuits based on SSOs that occur even after our collection system is managed, operated and maintained according to the standards and requirements established by this new regulatory program.

- The proposed time schedule for implementation of the various program elements is too short and overly prescriptive. For example, an agency with a population falling between 10,000 and 100,000 people must develop and implement a comprehensive Overflow Emergency Response Program within 12 months, which will require new equipment, personnel and training. This would be very difficult.
- Additional time should be provided and separate deadlines for individual program elements should be eliminated.

The City of Barstow respectfully requests that the SWRCB consider the City's comments when making its decision..

Respectfully Yours,

Patrick Lendway,

Contract/ Project Coordinator - Wastewater